

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/945,146	WOLFE ET AL.
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Angela Ortiz	1732	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Angela Ortiz. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Atty Carroll. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 July 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

28

Prior art documents discussed:

*the newly filed IDS and USP 5672405*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Exr suggested placing claim 28 into claim 22 to clarify the allowed method steps; the Exr also informed rep that the reply of 12 July 2004 was non-compliant - the rep filed a supplemental response with the agreed changes and the application passed to issue*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)